SLAVERY IN THE TERRITORIES.

SPEECH OF HON. J. R. GIDDINGS, OF OHIO IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,

MONDAY, MARCH 18, 1850, In Committee of the Whole on the state of the Union on the President's Message transmitting the Con stitution of California.

Mr. GIDDINGS said he regarded it as very desirable that gentlemen should understand each other, and that the issue between us should be defined, in order that the country might understand distinctly the questions on which we differ Complaints are made and reiterated by Southern gentlemen, that we are encroaching on the rights of the slave interest; but there is an apparent rejuctance to come down to particulars, and to define those rights which they allege have been invaded. The gentleman from Gaorgia [Mr. Toomas] told us, in boid language, that "this is a pro-slavery Government." But how far, or in what respects it is such, he has not pointed out. Was it founded solely, or in part, for the support of slavery?—for the purposes of oppression? He tells us that we "are bound to maintain the dominion of the slaveholders over their slaves with our blood; " that we " are bound to carry slavery wherever our flag floats and we have exclusive jurisdia

Now, if this be the doctrine of the Constitution. gentlemen of the North ought to understand it. Duty admits of no medium course, by which we shall keep our covenant in part, and violate it in

other parts. We of the North, Az Confide of encroachments upon our rights. These should be pointed out with precision and maintained with firmness, or they should be abandoned and surrendered. There is no intermediate course consistent with duty. I, for one, discard all compromises, and reject all offers to compromise. I came here to enforce, to carry out the provisions of the Constitution, not to compromise, nor to surrender the rights secured to us by that instrument.

I regard the constitutional obligations of this Government towards the institution of slavery as too obvious to be misunderstood by statesmen. The line of demarcation which separates the pec ple of the free States from the support of slavery has been so plainly drawn, that it would seem no intelligent, unprejudiced mind could mistake it. It is, however, true, that much obscurity is thrown upon the subject by the ingenuity and the sophis

try of those who profess to reason upon it.

Much has been said about slavery being the "creature of municipal law." Men in both political parties now urge, that "slavery cannot exist, unless sustained by municipal lam." They say that this is the doctrine of jurists; that the most profound judges have so decided. Others deny shows us that it was introduced into Virginia, and existed there for years, without any municipal regulation or authority. We know from history that it existed in each of the slave States of this Union long prior to the enactment of any laws on the subject. It found its way into Oregon, into California, Deseret, and, if we are to credit reports, it has been in New Mexico, without any law. It has been sustained in al those States and Territories, not by municipa law, but by the superior physical and intellectual power of the white over the colored people This was slavery in fact, but not in law. For in stance: slavery continued in Ohio, even against the provisions of our Constitution, and in viola-tion of all our laws, as late as the year 1840. It exists in Illinois to this day. Slaves are there bought and sold, not merely without law, but against law. But when these slaves become intelligent, and claim their rights before any court, the judges say that "slavery is the creature of municipal enactum; that there is no such enactment in Illusis, and therefore there is no slavery in that State." The judge means by this, there is no legal slavery there; while all are aware that negroes are there held in abject servitude, are opone professed owner to another, for the reason that the existing laws of that State are not en-

In a state of nature, the rights of all men are equal; but the superior intellectual and physical power of one man is often exerted to subject others to his will. In that State, however, each possesses the right of self-defence. Not so in slave States. There, the right of the slave t protect his liberty, or his life, is taken away by in Virginia lifts his hand against his master in self-defence, the master may at once slay him with impunity. But this right of the master depends on the law of that State; and the moment the master goes beyond the jurisdiction of that State, this right ceases. Let him bring his slave to Ohio, and the moment they stand on our soil, under the jurisdiction of our laws, the slave becomes a men, possessing the equal rights, and powers, and privileges, with the master. Such is e case whenever they go beyond the jurisdiction of Virginia into free territory.

These principles have often been advanced They were familiar to our fathers. They had been declared by the courts of Great Britain, prior to our Revolution. Slavery at that time was discountenanced in all the colonies, excepting perhaps South Carolina and Georgia.

My colleague [Mr. CAMPBELL] has shown in ar able manner, that the objects of the people of the South, before and at the commencement of the Revolution, was the entire abolition of slavery and of all slave laws. These objects were proclaimed in language most direct and appropriate in 1776, when entering upon the war of the Rev olution. After the close of that memorable strug-gle, the Confederated Congress, in 1783, sent out an address to the People of the United States penned by Mr. Madison, in which they say, Let it be remembered, finally, that it has been the pride and the boast of America that the rights for which she contended were the rights of human " These sentiments then prevailed in all Each State at that time held jurisdiction over

its western territory, and, so far as its ships were concerned, over the high seas. Thus the laws of Virginia extended over her northwestern terri tory, and over all ships belonging to the people o that State while sailing upon the high seas, and slavery, of course, existed both in that territory and on board every ship thus subjected to the laws of that State. In 1786, she coded her territory to the United

States. The jurisdiction was transferred, her laws ceased to be in force there, and slavery ceased with them. By the Ordinance of 1787, Congress consecrated that vast region to freedom by ordaining that slavery should never more exist there. This was expected by the people. They desired it. Up to this period, each State held jurisdiction upon the high seas, and slavery continued to exist there. But the convention then in session for the purpose of framing the Constitution, desired to make the high seas, also South Carolina and Georgia insisted or retaining the slave trade; Northern members would not go into a union with a people devoted to that commerce, with powers to continue it indefinitely; and a compromise of the subject

By the Sth section of the first article of the Constitution, the States surrendered to Congress all jurisdiction over commerce and navigation of the high seas; and by the ninth section of the same article, it was provided that Congress should not prohibit the slave trade until the year

its adoption, shows, in the most conclusive manner the hostility then existing against that commerce in our own species. All expected that it would be abolished at the earliest moment at which Congress should possess the power to carry into effect the wishes and intentions of the people. Acting in accordance with this expectation, Congress prohibited the importation of slaves, under eavy penalties, by statute enacted in 1807, but which did not take effect until the 1st of January,

This compromise of the Constitution has been fully carried out. The South enjoyed the benefits of the slave trade for the time stipulated, and the whole matter has passed by forever Yet, this compromise is cited by the gentleman from Georgia, to sustain the doctrine that this is a "pro-slavery Government," and the power given by the Constitution for Congress to prohibit elavery under our flag, after 1808, he construes into an obligation to protect, in all coming time, wherever our first may float. Such is the monstrosity of the slaveholding construc-

tion of the Constitution.

The gentleman from Georgia also cites that clause of the Constitution which relates to fugi-tive slaves, for the purpose of proving that we are bound to maintain the master's right to his slave with our blood. Under the old Confederation, when a slave escaped into another State he be-came free. At the formation of the Constitution, an amendment was presented, making it the duty of the free States to arrest and imprison fugitive slaves in the same manner they do fogitives from justice. But the amendment was rejected. matter was compromised by a stipulation that the free States should "pass no law nor regulation by which the slave should be discharged from the service of his master." The construction which this clause has received from the Supreme Court, makes it the duty of the free States, not to act, but to abstain

farther duties on this subject under the Constitu-tion. We live up to our compact. We admit it to the full extent. There we stop. This stipula-tion can imply nothing further than is expressed. It has relation to fugitive slaves only. No infer-ence beyond that can be drawn from it. How centlemen can infer from this claves that we are gentlemen can infer from this clause that we are bound to maintain the power of the master over his slave with our blood, is another of those monstrous assumptions which slaveholders are accustomed to make, but for which they can assign no

reason whatever.

There is no action obligatory upon the free States. They fulfil their whole duty by abstaining from action. Ohio does this, and I believe all the free States do it. The last clause of the paragraph, which, referring to the fugitive slave, says, he "shall be delivered up, on claim of the person to whom such service or labor may be due, has no reference to State legislation, or State ac tion. That proposition was rejected by the Con vention. The Supreme Court of the United States have placed a construction upon it. hold that it refers to the individuals of the State imposing upon them the duty of permitting the master to take the slave, in the same manner that we surrender up our friends to the officers of justice. They have decided that it is the duty of individuals not to secrete, or to defend, the slave against his master. They admit that the indi-vidual may act in aid of the master, but deny to the State all power of acting on the subject.
It is worthy of remark, that this is the only in

stance in which Congress is authorized to legislate in favor of slavery. On this point it is conceded that we may pass laws. But by this clause of the Constitution we are only authorized to legislate for the arrest and return of fugitive slaves. It does not make it our duty to legislate for the further benefit of the master. It is left for us to act agreeably to the dictates of our own judgment. We have the power to pass further laws, or we may repeal the law of Congress now in force on that subject. But it gives us no power to go farther in support of clavery, and to pass laws for the protection of that institution within the States. Such interpretation would be a perversion of language, and of the intention of those who framed the Constitution. It was intended to give the master a right to reclaim his fugitive slave, and to Congress power to aid him in reclaiming such fugitive. Here the right and the power end; they extend no further. Nor has the gen-tleman from Georgia | Mr. Toomss| informed us in what manner or by what rules of construction he infers any power in Congress, under this clause of the Constitution to protect slavery wherever our flag may float; or that it is our duty to protect it with our blood.

The next compromise of the Constitution to which the gentleman from Georgia [Mr. Toomis]

refers, is that of the slave representation as provided in the 3d clause, 24 section, of the 1st article of the Constitution. In regard to this article, i possibly exist. There can be no doubt that it was intended to give the slave States an advantage over the free States. The slaves are not represented in this Hall, nor can we legislate for their benefit; but the slaveholders have a repre sentation here, in proportion to the number of slaves they hold in bondage, counting five slaves equal to three freemen. For more than sixty years the slave States have enjoyed this privilege. man has ever denied it to be their right un der the Constitution. But it is equally plain that this clause was intended to give no further privi-lege. It alludes to no other subject, and cannot be construed to give any other powers. The gentleman [Mr. Toomes] referred to it to show that this is a slaveholding Government; that we are bound to maintain the master's power over his slave with our blood; and to carry slavery wherever our flag floats. But the way and manner he brings this clause to sustain his positions, be has failed to show us. I repeat, that the whole object and intention of this clause has been thus far observed and carried out. South Carolina has now three representatives on this floor more than she would be entitled to according to the number of her freemen; and twenty members from the slave States are admitted here solely by virtue of this superior advantage which the slave States possess over the People of the North.

It gives to the South an influence over our rights and interests, not according to their love of free dom, but proportioned to their disregard of lib erty. The holder of five slaves exerts an influence in this Hall and in the Federal Government equal to four citizens of the free States; and the owner of a thousand slaves possesses political owers equal to six hundred citizens of the North. pride and dignity of our people than this ine-quality of our political influence. We are plaed in a political position between the supercil ious master and his crouching menial; superior to the one and inferior to the other. It was a compromise of Northern honor. It gave a bounty to oppression; bestowed privileges upon those who disregard "self-evident truth," and trample upon the inalienable rights of man; it has taught Northern men to regard slaveholders as politically entitled to superior consideration; it has taught us subjugation to slaveholding dictation. t was the force of this feeling which constrained Northern members on this floor to surrender up their own independence, and for years to unit with the South in excluding from consideration all petitions in relation to freedom; passed your gag rules; struck down the freedom of debate scaled the lips of those who advocated the doc trines of political equality; and for many years held the National Government subservient to the slave power. It was this principle which for half a century caused Northern men to tremble at Southern threats to dissolve the Union; which now calls together the timid and the irresolute in our commercial cities, to pass resolutions against the maintenance of our own rights. The same feeling of servility is also manifested by a portion of the Northern press, which is always ready to advocate every slaveholding policy. Yet, sir, we abide by the compact. The slave States, from the a loption of the Constitution to this day, have enjoyed this privilege of a superior representation in this hall and in the elections of President and Vice President. No Northern man has objected to carrying out this provision, which, of all others, is the most destructive to our interests and

wounding to our pride. But, sir, this concession was never intended to extend beyond what it plainly imports. It gives to the slave States no other privilege than that of representation agreeably to the number of their slaves. It imposes no obligation, whatever, upon the people of the free States to maintain the master's power over his slave with our blood, nor does it impose upon Congress the duty "to protect slavery wherever our flag floats." Nor can any inference, whatever, be drawn from this clause of the Constitution beyond its plain and obvious apportionment of representation and di-

In order to establish the duty of this Govern ment to sustain slavery we are referred to the of ligation imposed upon us to "protect each of the states from invasion, and against domestic vio-

This provision extends to the free as well as o the slave States. History informs us that the rebellion in Massachusetts was the occasion of ts adoption. Mr. Madison informs us that members from the slave States assured the Convention that they neither needed nor required any provision of the kind. But no man can mistake the object and design of this section. are bound to protect every State from invasion. This protection is thrown around the State, including all the people therein; the righteous and the wicked, the bond and the free, the black and the white, the hardened assassin, and the inno-cent child, are all protected: And you may allege that this clause was adopted to protect pirates and murderers, with the same propriety that you can assert it to have been adopted to protect slaveholders or any other men of a particular char-

acter.

The same reasoning applies to the suppression of domestic violence. We are bound to protect the whole people of the State against domestic violence. We do not institute an inquiry as to the character of the people! We do not ask whether they are slaves or masters, white or black, righteous or wicked. The insurgents are shot down by our troops without inquiring who they see. The paster found in arms is shot they are! The master found in arms is shot down precisely as the slave, and the insurgent slave is butchered with just as little ceremony as the insurgent master. Indeed we know of no distinctions in such case. Our troops have nothing to do with slavery; their duty is to quell the violence. That done, every slave in the State may walk off to Canada, in full view of our army, and they possess, neither the right nor the onstitutional power to interfere, or in any manner to prevent their escape. But in case of sion, or of insurrection, the power of the Government in repelling the one or suppressing the ernment in repelling the one or suppressing the other is unlimited by the Constitution. The whole physical power of the nation may then be brought into action for that purpose, and if deemed necessary by the Executive, who is the commander of the army and navy, he may liberate every slave in such State for the purpose of saving the people or of restoring peace. This is a power, however, lying behind the Coustitution, based upon the right of self defence, upon the duty of preserving the Government and exthe duty of preserving the Government, and ex-isting only in time of foreign or of civil war.

And now, Mr. Chairman, I have examined what from action.

Now, sir, I am not aware that any State has passed laws to discharge fugitives from service. On the contrary, I believe every State has abstained from such legislation. That constitutes

Constitution.

They consist entirely in the privace where killed, and cart destroyed; and the creasing the Slave Blave and surrented and the work of the disposal of those who despited on Congress to make compensation, and the work of natural rights, is not only a palpable violation.

Constitution.

They consist entirely in the privace were killed, and cart destroyed; and the creasing the Slave Power, and surrented to the slave size of those who despited on Congress to make compensation.

A bill was reported paying for the slave. The claim was ity of natural rights, is not only a palpable violation of the whole spirit of the Constitution, but of the strongest possible character. It was distinct the constitution of the whole spirit of the Constitution, but of the strongest possible character. It was distinct the constitution of the whole spirit of the Constitution, but of the strongest possible character. It was distinct the constitution of the whole spirit of the Constitution, but of the constitution, but of the constitution of the whole spirit of the Constitution, but of the constitution of the whole spirit of the Constitution, but of the constitution of the whole spirit of the Constitution, but of the constitution of the whole spirit of the Constitution. we so often hear called the "Compromises of the Constitution." They consist entirely in the priv-

these, the people of the free States possess the clear and indisputable right to be separated, and entirely exempted, from all participation in the support of slavery, to be purified from its moral guilt and entirely absolved from its disgrace. If we do not thus separate ourselves from its moral and political contagion, it will be owing to the vitiated sentiment and the servile feelings of Northern members. If we remain contaminated with the crimes attendant upon the slave trade in this District and upon our Southern coast, the responsibility must rest upon those Northern members of Congress who are willing to continue

of the master to hold his slave upon the 'high eas,' and 'even in foreign ports' If this were correct, it would impose upon us no duty to vio-late the Constitution and defeat the entire objects for which our Government was instituted. But I will examine this point. Until the year 1808, the people of South Carolina and Georgia were permitted to import slaves. But they went to Africa at their own peril. We furnished them no protection. If, in attempting to seize and enslave the unoffending people of that country, they were slain, all regarded their fate as most just and righteous. When their victims were placed on shipboard, these dealers in human flesh looked not to this Government for protection. They depended upon physical force, upon chains and fetters, the scourge and thumbscrew, the piste and dagger, for their safety, until they reaches in jurisdiction of the slave State to which they hur-ried their cargo. There the laws of such State threw its protection around them; and if the slaves subsequently resisted their masters, the pow-ers of the State were brought to the master's aid in holding his victim in subjection. But this permis sion of the slave trade expired in 1808, and the traffic was thenceforth entirely interdicted. At the same time, Congress restricted the constwise slave trade, by imposing heavy penalties for transporting slaves from one of our own sea-ports to another, in vessels of less than forty tons burden, or without filing affidavits that the slaves were not imported after the year 1808; and the slavedealers now pursue their vocation on our Southern coast at their own peril, precisely as they pursued the foreign slave trade prior to 1898. The United States have passed no law of slavery authorizing one men to hold another as property upon the "high seas." While there, under the exclusive jurisdiction of our laws, the master has no more right to chastise his slave than the slave has to correct his master. The slave has the same right while there to defend his life and lib-erty which the master possesses. If either, in the act of legally defending himself, slay his as-sailant, there is no law of the United States, or

cable to this subject. They are clearly deduci-ble from the Constitution, and should, in my opinion, at all times have been firmly maintained. Yet it is true that the President and Senate have attempted to exert the national influence to establish the power of the master over his slave on the ocean, under the exclusive jurisdiction of our laws; it is also true that, by fraud and deeption, compensation was obtained from the British Government for two cargoes of slaves who

of any State, or of nature, or of nature's God

that holds him responsible.

These are the doctrines most obviously appli

were made free by being shipwrecked and landing on British soil; but it is equally true that the imposition was discovered, the right of such protection denied, and all such compensation has been for the last twelve years withheld by Eug-

s land, and such claims have long since been abandoned by the Executive.

When in 1838 the British ministers refused to a listen to the claims of this Government for indemnity to the slaveholders, whose victims on board the ship Enterprise had been manumited by shipwreck, on the British island of New Providence, a distinguished Senator from South Carolina, [Mr. Calhoun.] impatient at delay, offered resolutions in the other end of the Capitol, declaring, in substance, not merely that slavery existed upon the high seas on board American vessels, but that such vessels carried with them the relation of master and slave, when to the Constitution and to international law voice of Massachusetts, of New England, of New York, and Ohio, was then silent. Not even a vote from the whole North was recorded against propositions. The spirit of Northern Liberty then slept, so far as that body was concerned; that voice, which is now so ready to abandon the Wilmot Proviso-so willing for the admission of more slave States -- so anxious to give further aid to the slaveholder, as he pursues his trembling victim within our free States, was then silent. That Senator opened not his lips, neither did he vote on that occasion. From an examination of the journal, I discover the name of but one Whig from the free States who voted on that occasion He voted for adopting the resolutions. The others

Every Southern Senator of both parties, and all Northern Democrats who voted, gave their support in favor of the resolutions. This was in the year 1840; and soon after that period, one of the Senators [Mr. Webster] who did not vote on those resolutions, was called to preside over the State Department, under President Tyler. While he was thus guiding the most important branch of the Executive duties, the case of the Creole occurred. That ship sailed from Richmond, with a cargo of slaves, in October, 1841 while on the high seas the slaves rose, asserted their right to freedom, and slew one of the slavedealers who attempted to reduce them to subjec-tion, and, guiding the ship to the Island of New Providence, went on shore, and became entirely free. The piratical dealers, who professed to own the people thus restored to freedom, called on the President to aid them in obtaining indemnity for their loss; and Mr. Webster was requested to corry out the doctrine for which he and other Northern Senators had refused to vote. A statesman bred up and educated in New England, one who had long breathed the free air of the North, who had been taught the language of freedom, condescended to become the agent and solicitor of those who deal in human flesh, and to exert his official influence to maintain a commerce in the bodies of men and of women.

The attention of the nation, however,

called to these facts by resolutions presented in this body. The proceeding of the Executive was condemned by all. Not even slaveholders were willing to sustain the doctrine. The further The State Department receded from its position; the celebrated resolutions which were intended to establish new principles in the law of nations, remain a dead letter upon the journal of the Sen-ate. The owners of the slaves of the Creole have pocketed their loss; and the slaves them selves are now most of them British subjects, and few are freemen, residents of our free Yet, sir, these attempts of Mr. Calhoun, aided by Southern slaveholders, and of Mr. Webster, and President Tyler, to commit the nation to the doc-trines contended for by the gentleman from Georgia, [Mr. Toomss.] are now quoted in both ends of the Capitol, to show that we are "bound to carry slavery wherever our flag floats." This signal failure to sustain the doctrine, is constantly cited by slaveholders to demonstrate its correctness. The reasoning is of that absurd character which requires only to be stated to be appreciated. The views which I have thus advanced are not new. They have been often asserted here and elsewhere; but it seems that no arguments, no facts, no exposure of the sophistry and the absurdity of slaveholding doctrines, will prevent their repe-tition; and when reasserted, we are constrained

to reply to them. The gentleman from Georgia also insists that slaves are property; that they have been recognised as such; and that the Government is bound to protect the master's right to the slave in the same manner that we are bound to protect him in the enjoyment of other property. Such was once the opinion of English jurists, but it was in a darker age. The latest decision to that effect in the English courts bears date more than a hundred years since. In 1772, Lord Mansfield denied the dectrine, and combated it with such arguments as to set the matter forever at rest in the courts of Great Britain. From the comthe courts of Great Britain. From the commement of the Revolution to this day we have
refused to admit that slaves are property. This
Government has always refused to pay for slaves
killed or lost in the public service. In 1816,
Congress passed a law making compensation for
property lost or destroyed in the service of Government. Pending the bill, a motion was made so to amend it as to allow compensation for slaves killed or lost while in the public service, in the same manner as the Government paid for other property; but the amendment was rejected by a large majority, there being only thirty-two mem-bers in favor of it. In 1828, the case of "D'Auterive" came before Congress. The slave, horse, and cart of the claimant were pressed into the public service against his consent, on the day of battle at New Orleans. His slave and horse were killed, and cart destroyed; and the

whatever in favor of slavery. Beyond these, we and has never been heard from since. In 1832, are bound to act for freedom; for the political the case of Larche, of the same character, was equality of all men; for the maintenance of "the rejected. In 1842, another attempt was made rights of all to life and liberty." Aside from to induce Congress to pay for slaves lost in East rejected. In 1842, another attempt was made to induce Congress to pay for slaves lost in East Florida by the action of our troops in 1814, but the claim was rejected—only thirty-six members voting for it. During the last Congress, efforts were made to pass bills to pay for slaves, but none of them succeeded. In the 28th Congress, a bill to pay for a slave lost in the Florida war came to this bolk from the Sente. were made to pass bills to pay for slaves, but none of them succeeded. In the 28th Congress, a bill to pay for a slave lost in the Florida war came to this body from the Senate. It was committed to the Committee of the Whole House. I was perfectly aware of its character, and called the atthe Committee of the Whole House. I was perfectly aware of its character, and called the attention of my venerable and lamented friend from Massachusetts, [Mr. Adams.] to it. I know it tention of my venerable and lamented friend from Massachusetts, [Mr. Anams.] to it. I know it was his intention to oppose its passage, but on one of those days when bills are hurried through members of Congress who are willing to continue
their participation in this sale of men and of
women, this treason against God and humanity.
But the gentleman from Georgia [Mr. Toomes]
says that we "have heretofore sustained the right
of the master to hold his slave upon the high dent or establishes any principle. With this ex-ception, Congress, from the first session under the old Confederation to this day, has rejected the principle that "man can hold property in man." Mr. Madison declared in Convention that "it would be wrong to admit in the Constitution THA THERE COULD BE PROPERTY IN MAN." To this opinion of Mr. Madison, every member assented. than Ohio, New York, or Pennsylvania. The No one controverted it. To that doctrine Congress, as well as the Supreme Court of the Uni-

> decrees of God himself, in order to bring his image to the level of the brutes that perish. I have now answered all the positions of the gentleman from Georgia which I regard as material. I have paid particular attention to his speech, as I am not aware than any other Southern member has dwelt upon the constitutional obligations of this Government towards slavery. I will now turn my attention to the question of extending slavery into our newly-acquired Terri-

ted States, has at all times adhered. Yet we are

constantly told that slaves are property, and gen-tlemen appeared determined to overthrow, not

It is constantly asserted that, by adopting the Wilmot Proviso, we shall exclude the people of the South from emigrating to those Territories with their property." They charge us with attempting to create "distinctions between the people of the free and those of the slave States." These arguments are unfounded. The exclusion of slavery is for the express purpose of permitting all men, of every State, and nation, and kindred, and tongue, and people, under heaven, to go there "upon terms of perfect equality." We propose to give to all, the same protection, the same security to life, liberty, and property, to admit of no dis-tinctions except those of moral worth. It is this "equality of political rights" to which Southern men object, and not to the want of it. Their excitement arises from the fact, that we recognise no distinction, that we will permit no man to hold the body of another at his disposal, to deprive him of liberty, to beat and scourge, to degrade shall show ourselves unequal to the discharge our duties as statesmen, and insensible to our obligations as Christians—we shall deserve and must receive the censure, the condemnation, of the civilized world. It is not my purpose out this point to travel over ground already occupied.
The moral turpitude of permitting slavery and slave markets to be established on territory hitherto consecrated to freedom, has been ably examined by other gentlemen. There is, however, an abstraction, first advanced within the last two years but row advanced by individuals of both years, but now advocated by individuals of both

have no right to prohibit it.

I stated that this theory was novel. It has cerican vessels, but that such vessels carried with them the relation of master and slave, when driven by stress of weather into the port of a foreign nation. In truth, the resolutions, so far as that body could do, pledged the Nation to sustain the claims of these dealers in human flesh. No member objected to this doctrine, so repugnant to member objected that this theory was novel. It has certainly been discovered since 1776. Then our fatintly been discovered since 1776. T the question of liberty out of view, to be determined by the people of that country. Who do gentlemen mean by the people? Do they include all persons who now live in New Mexico, or who shall hereafter go there? Do they intend that each human being shall have a voice and a vote on this question of his own liberty? Will they by legislative enactment secure the right of such vote to every man? No. air; such is not the intention of gentlemen who use this language.
They intend that one portion of the people shall determine whether they will rob another portion of their liberty and hold them as property. Such is the effect of this policy; yet gentlemen are un-

> this intention in undisguised language. Again, it is urged that slavery cannot exist there In the opening of my remarks, I showed that it has existed in Oregon and California, that it now exists in Descret, and, if we can credit accounts apparently correct, it has existed in New In my opinion, the mines of New Mexico will furnish as profitable employment for slaves as can be found upon the face of the earth. That, if permitted, those mines will be filled with a dense slave population. And such we know to be the opinion of slaveholders generally, and they are competent judges. I regard these arguments merely as apologies for leaving the question pre-cisely as slaveholders desire it to be left. Time will not permit me to examine these points fur-

willing to come out before the country and avew

the Constitution, I spoke of the slave representa-tion in Congress—the political position in which it placed the freemen of the North; that this position was intermediate between the supercilious master and his abject slave. I then called atten-tion to the effect which this degrading position has had upon members of this body, upon the Northern press, and upon the timid and irresoto men of the North, generally. The question now propounded to us is, shall this political inequality between ourselves and slaveholders be extended and increased? Shall we consent or permit freedom. New Mexico to wield the same influence in this Government, and exert the same control over our commercial and national rights and interests, that is held and exerted by six hundred of our intelligent farmers of the North? Now six the metical state of the law of kindness of control to their God. The law of kindness of control to their God. gent farmers of the North? Now, sir, the motto of our Northern people is, "no slave Territory; no more slave States." We intend to permit no Most High. Can you repeal that law of the Alincrease of slave power. We will oppose it now, reafter, and at all times; under all circumstances in all legal and constitutional ways; by every honorable means. The Northern man who hesitates to oppose this outrage upon the interests, the honor, and the constitutional rights of the North, we regard as a traitor to freedom and to

humanity.

But, sir, the most insidious treason against freedom and the North is that which urges upon us the propriety of leaving this question of slavery entirely to the electors of the Territories. If they establish it, and form State Constitutions of a slaveholding character, then they urge that each shall be admitted into the Union as a slave State; and every holder of five slaves be entitled to an influence over our national rights and interests, equal to five laboring men of the North. He who embraces this insidious mode of degrading the Northern character, adds to his moral and political treason, the baseness of duplicity and of cowardice, and is far less entitled to our respect than he who comes out openly, and frankly de-clares that Northern laborers are less entitled to respect, and less qualified to discharge politic duties, than are the slaveholders of the South.

Mr. Chairman, when we say it is our intention to admit "no more slave States," to hold no "slave territory," we mean what we say. On this point we discard the doctrine lately advanced in an-other part of the Capitol. We totally deny that we are under any moral, political, or constitu-tional obligation to admit another slave State from Texas. We deny that any contract exists be-tween us and that State. There was a contract proposed, and submitted to the only power in this Government capable of contracting—that is, the treaty-making power. That power rejected it. Texas came into the Union with full notice and full knowledge of these facts. She will not be deceived nor disappointed on this subject, if we refuse to admit a new slave State from her territory. She came in by joint resolution, and not by contract. I then denied, and still deny, that even the treaty-making power could impose on us a union with a foreign slave State upon such unequal terms. But most emphatically do I deny the doc-trine that members of this House in 1845 had power to control my vote for the admission of a new State in 1850. They could admit a State, but they could place me under no obligation to act contrary to my own judgment. I am sworn to support the Constitution, and solemnly believe that this increasing and extending of the Slave Power is subversive of the Constitution.

Sir, to admit more slave States, thereby in-

the whole duty of the free States. They owe no of their slaves. They have no further claims cussed some weeks, when it was laid on the table, it is at war with the vital objects, the whole de-

sign, of those who established our Government amidst toil and dangers.

The annexation of Texas was conceived and urged, and consummated, with the avowed inten-tion of increasing the Slave Power. I do not charge Northern men with acting on this princi-ple; but we know that the officers of the Govern-

Sir what has wrought such a change in the mind of that distinguished Senator? Time will doubtless answer this question. But, sir, holding now, as I then did, that Congress may at any time repeal the joint resolution by which Texas was admitted—that those who voted for that resolution could by its adoption impose no duty on me to vote for admitting other new slave States, I shall at all times oppose such admission. These sovereign States, while united under the same Constitution, must be equal. Texas brought with her no claim whatever to be divided into four clave States. sole object for such a division is an increase of the Slave Power. To give it greater influence over the rights and the interests of the free States-to enable it more effectually to withstand the progress of truth and justice—to prolong its blood-stained and barbarous system of brutalizing

e practice of the Government, the deour fellow men.
But, sir, the day has arrived when the tenden cisions of the courts, and the Constitution itself, in order to sustain slavery, but they seem disposed to repeal the law of nature, and reverse the cy, the effects and consequences of our official acts are known and understood by the People. He who now advocates an increase of the Slave Power, may as well openly and frankly avow his opposition to freedom, his hatred of the "inalien-able rights" of man; and declare his intentions to sustain oppression and slavery. Our actions cannot be misunderstood in these times. He whose soul is imbued with the love of justice, of liberty, and of his race, will manifest it by his words, by his acts, by his votes. Sir, those acts and votes

are "known and read of all men."

It is also proposed to pass further and more stringent laws for the arrest and return of fugitive slaves; to make every postmaster, and depu-ty marshal, and other petty officer of this Gov-ernment, resident in the free States, the catchpole of slave hunters. We are to impose upon them the obligation to leave their shops or their fields, and give chase to the flying bondman as he hastens from the scene of his oppression; to seize the trembling victim of Southern cupidity; to assist in riveting the cold iron on his chafed limbs, and then to force him back to a land of chains and sighs, and tears and suffering. Yes, sir, it is now gravely proposed that this Congress of Christian men, at this middle of the nineteenth century, boasting that we are surrounded by the most intelligent, free, and virtuous people upon the earth, shall turn our attention and exert our and brutalize, him. Such are our objects, and such are the objections to them. If, sir, we permit slavery to establish itself in these Teaching. scourge, for the benefit of his oppressor; that we will help rob bim of his liberty, his enjoyments, his all, that others may revel in wealth. Sir, I would as soon turn slave-hunter as I would vote for such a law. Yet I do not regret that such a measure is to come before us. I am willing to see members compelled to show their hands. I desire that the country shall understand who is willing thus to degrade the character of American statesmen by prostituting our official powers to such purposes.

But there are circumstances which render such action at this time still more objectionable and humiliating. Since the early history of the State in which I live, men have occasionally come from the slave States and kidnapped our colored people; and when such offenders have been demanded, as fugitives from justice under the Constitution, their surrender by the slave States has, I believe, at all times been refused. Yet, the Rep-resentatives of Ohio are now called on to turn round and lend their influence to catch and return Southern slaves! When our free colored people visit the slave States, they are seized, State. Will the Representatives of Ohio vote

for such a law? The citizens of Massachusetts have been seized in Southern ports, enslaved, sold, degraded, and brutalized. They now moan and weep in chains. Representatives of the sovereignty of Massachusetts, when sent to those States, to test their proceedings judicially, have been driven by mob violence from their jurisdiction; and their Legislatures have passed laws, prohibiting, under severe penalties, all persons from prosecuting the rights of such enslaved citizens to freedom.

Sir, while the South thus violates and tramples upon the Constitution, while they treat the free States generally, and Massachusetts in particular, with most sovereign contempt, a distinguished statesman of New England, a representative of Massachusetts, comes forward, and, before the nation, chiding the people of the North for their remissness in arresting and returning fugitive slaves, proposes that we shall exert our legislative powers in favor of Southern piratical slave-

Sir, the proposition is humiliating. I detest, I execrate such a policy. Sir, I have no language capable of describing my own feelings in regard to it. I would as soon legislate to make the peo-ple of the free States the slaves of the South, in direct and positive language, as I would vote for such a measure. To me, the slave-hunter is the most detestable human being that lives or moves

upon the earth.

We cannot under the Constitution protect or secrete the slave from his master. But the Legislatures of free States may prohibit their own cifizens from aiding or assisting the master to track out the panting fugitive, in order again to subject him to the lash and the thumbscrew. Such a law has been introduced into the Legis'ature of Ohio; and I am free to say, that if there be a crime for which I would hang a citizen of our State, it is that of aiding the slaveholder to scize his trembling victim upon soil consecrated to

written upon our natures by the finger of the Most High. Can you repeal that law of the Almighty? Can you tear from the heart's core our love of justice—our hatred of crime? Sir, no legislation can effect that object.

Sir, we ought not to deceive Southern men We should say to them, in all frankness and sincerity, that the day for arresting fugitive slaves within our free States has gone by forever. We abide by the Constitution; but that instrument does not give sufficient power to the master to se-cure his fugitive slave when he once reaches our free States. It has not come up to the expecta tions of the South in this respect. It fails to fet ter the limbs, to stop the flight, of their fugitives. They generally reach Canada, and there find an

The Constitution has also failed to protect our colored citizens when they go to Southern States. It has proved defective on this point. We are willing to amend it, but we would strike out the entire provision relating to fugitive slaves. No such clause can find a place in any new Constitu-

In conclusion, I would assure Southern gentle men, that a portion of our Northern citizen determined on reforming the abuses which have rendered this nation subservient to the Slave

We wish all to understand distinctly and fully our views and ulterior designs. We intend to limit and confine slavery to its present bounds; to repeal all acts of Congress which sustain that institution; and totally to separate the people of the free States and the Federal Government from all r sponsibility of sustaining slavery or the slave trade: to restore the Government to the position relative to that institution in which it was placed by the Constitution. We then hope to direct its energies and the influence of the nation in favor of justice, of truth, of liberty, and of humanity.

I am aware of the efforts now making to ar range and to compromise these questions; to quiet this agitation; to roll back the tide of popular this agitation; to roll back the tide of popular feeling now manifested, not only in our free States, but in Europe, and throughout the civilized world. Sir, feeble and impotent are the powers of Congress, when brought in conflict with that rising voice of the people now heard in every quarter of our nation. Can we, by legislation, take from twenty millions of freemen their consciences, their thoughts, their judgement? Can we prohibit their investigations of truth? This struggle has been going on for centuries. Men may denounce it, but it will go forward. Reforms in all ages, and in all nations, have been denounced. Oppression, Guilt, and Crime, always seek silence and darkness; but as intelligence increases, and becomes more and more generally

vance has been regular, constant, and uninter-rupted. I have seen the influence of the Executive, of Congress, of the public press generally, and of politicians, put forth to retard its progress, but they have not even checked its onward course.

The arrogance of the Slave Power has been beaten back; the spirit of Northern servility has been rebuked, and brought into contempt; the freedom of debate has been regained; the advo-cates of truth and justice have increased, and are already seizing upon the strongholds of oppression. In our State Legislatures, the language of freedom and of truth finds abundant utterance. With the most unshaken confidence, in the assurance of unwavering faith, I expect, at no distant day, to see this Government and the people of the free States redeemed and purified from the guilt and the crime of slavery.

For the National Era. SENATOR CLEMENS.

Senator Clemens of Alabama has recently as serted, that the factory operatives of the North are in a much worse social and moral condition than are the negro slaves of the South, and that assertion finds an echo in the Southern press generally. Miss Harriet Farley, in a recent letter. giving details of the varieties and qualities of food partaken of by her in a factory boarding house, &c., indignantly repels the assertion, and of course the Northern press, for the most part, agree with her.

Now, viewing them from my stand-point, I must take exceptions to both. If, as says the old adage, "in ignorance there is bliss," chattel slavery would be infinitely preferable to mages slavery. Many say, however, give me true happiness as found in full rocial liberty, or keep me in a state of bondage, which precludes the first conception of what real happiness is. But I would say to them, nay, we must make haste slowly—all can not ascend from darkest depths of ignorance to transcendent knowledge—but, inspired by hope and faith, struggle on and ever, and the discipline of these transitionary steps will enable us to en-ter into fullest enjoyments of a more harmonious period. "Sufficient unto the day is the evil

But to resume : Mr. Clemens's idea of liberty is But to resume: Mr. Clemens's idea of liberty is this—a landed aristocracy, who hold in absolute, perpetual ignorance and ignominy, a large num-ber of their fellow men, depriving them of all the fruits of their labor, merely returning therefor, because it is for their interest so to do, sufficient of the physical comforts to keep them in a good

The mass of Northern men are a little higher in the scale of civilization; as a general thing, their conception of liberty is a deliverance from chattel slavery, the right to vote for some of their rulers, the guaranty of a fair moral and scientific education, and a tame, almost immovable adherence to the blighting rule of a moneyed aristocracy, which ears to the wear, producers of all wealth, with a freezing, calculating, calmness, "toil thou ceaselessly, I am content." Do a few complain, they have the alternative of starving, and are told either that "it always has been so," or else that it has been worse."

Northern men will descant eloquently on the

manifold evils of chattel slavery, but they fail to perceive the unequal nature of the contest which they themselves are maging, viz: that between the vigilant, unwearing dollars, and human, mortal, sinews and muscles! It even escapes their notice that in the division of the profits of a joint production of capital and labor, the former gets the lion's share, and, as a natural result, that the constantly decreasing few are kept in luxurious profusion, while the as constantly increasing many have to toil harder and longer, and be conent with a less and less return.

This system of Northern slavery, or abject servitude to capital, is one to be severely criticised, and gradually remedied in course of coming years; and gradually remedied in course of coming years; its enormity has heretofore been absorbed in a greater. I rejoiced when I read the report of Mr. Clemens's speech; it has produced precisely what I expected—a retort from the operatives, and from the Northern press generally. Since truth must and will prevail, let us hail agitation.

Boston, February, 1850.

MASSACHUSETTS QUARTERLY REVIEW. No. X .- MARCH, 1850. EDITED by Theodore Parker. Devoted to the Free Discussion of matters pertaining to Philosophy, Literature, Politics, Religion, and Humanity. Terms, three dollars are yes, in advanced.

dollars per year, in advance.

New subscribers, remitting six dollars, will be supplied with the work from the beginning to the close of the third volume, until the edition printed is exhausted. Contents of No. 10. Judicial Oaths.
Specimens of German Lyrics.
Two New Trinities.
The Writings of R. W. Emerson.

Panslavism.
The Postal System.
Short Reviews and Notices. Published by COOLIDGE & WILEY, No. 12 Water st. Soston, and sold at the Bookstores. Mar. 14 —1mi

SHAWLS AND SILK GOODS JEWETT & PRESCOTT'S NEW STOCK. At No. 2, Milk street, Boston. Is SURPASSINGLY RICH AND EXTENSIVE and claims the early attention of all purchasers, at whole e or retail.

This assortment comprises all kinds of SILKS FOR DRESSES,

In black and fancy colors, superior qualities and styles, fresh and new. LONG AND SQUARE SHAWLS Of every known variety and quality, from the highest to the lowest cost. FRENCH SACKS, VISITES, MANTILLAS, And all articles that are worn as substitutes for shawls. Al-so, SILKS in the proper widths, for those who prefer to make these garments for themselves.

ALL KINDS OF CANTON AND INDIA SHAWLS AND SILKS; n particular, an immense variety of CRAPE SHAWLS, embrodered, plain, and damask figured, in a full assort-ment of colors.

BLACK INDIA SATINS and SILKS, all qualities. CASHMERE SCARFS and MANTLES. BLACK SILKS and BLACK SILK SHAWLS. BAY STATE LONG and SQUARE SHAWLS. CAMELEON SATINS and SATIN DE CHINES. FRENCH SATINS, all colors. BOMBASINES and ALPACCAS, finest qualities.

WIDE SILK VELVETS, for Mantillas and Shawl. In brief, we would say to purchasers of the above Goods, in any quantity, small or large, that we can and will supply their wants at the lowest possible prices, and with such qualities and styles of goods as cannot be found at other stores.

JEWETT & PRES.OTT,
March 14—3m No 2. Milk street, Boston.

FIRE-PROOF CHESTS.

PATENT Saismander Saspstone lined Iron Chests, that will stand more fire than any others made in this country. Also, a large supply of Patent Air Chamber Iron Chests, 700 now in use, and we still make chests in the ordinary at very low prices. Slate-lined Refrigerators, Water Filter Portable Water Closets for the sick and infirm. Seal and Letter Copying Presses, Fire Proof Doors for Banks and Stores.

76 South Third street, Philadelphia. N. B. Country Merchants are invited to call and examin for themselves, before purchasing elsewhere. Feb. 1.

EXCHANGE BANK OF R. W. LATHAM & CO., Washington, D. C., DEALS in checks, drafts, acceptances, promissory notes bank notes, and coin.

D bank notes, and coin.

BANK NOTES.

Notes on all solvent banks in the United States bought and sold at the best prices.

DRAFTS. NOTES, AND BILLS, in Washington and Georgetown, collected, and remittances promptly made, in Baltimore, Philadelphia, New York, or Boston funds, at a charge of one-quarter per cent.

COLLECTIONS

Made in all the rejected first fluing, on the most Made in all the principal cities of the Union, on the mos

Bills of exchange and bank checks on most of the principal cities of the Union bought and sold at the best rates. Nov. 15-tf

FACTS FOR THE PEOPLE, second edition: A compi-lation from the writings of Hon. William Jay, Hon. J. R. Giddings, Hon. J. G. Paffrey, and others, on the relations of the United States Government to Siavery, and embracing a history of the Mexican war, its origin and objects. By Lo-ring Moody. Price 20 cents. Nathaniel P. Rogers.—The second edition of a collection from the writings of Nathaniel P. Rogers. Price, in east cloth binding, \$1.

Narrative of the Life of William W. Brown, a Fuitive Slave; wri'ten by himself. Compi housand. Price 25 cents. Auto-Biography of H. C. Wright: Human Life, illus rated in my individual experience as a Child, a Youth, and Man. By Henry C. Wright. "There is properly no his ory; only biography."—R. W. Emerson. Price \$1.

The above works are just published and for sale by Sept. 27.—6m BELA MARSH, 25 Cornbill, Boston.

V. B. PALMER, the American Newspaper Agent, is agen for the National Era, and authorized to take Adver-tements and subscriptions at the same rates as required by is. His offices are at Boston, S. Congress atreet; New York Tribune Building; Philadelphia; northwest corner of Thin and Chestant streets; Baltimore, southwest corner of North

or S. M. PETTENGILL, Newspaper Advertising, Sub-scription, and Collecting Agent, No. 10 State street, Boston (Journal Building.) is also agent for the National Era.

CARPETS, OIL CLOTHS, & WINDOW SHADES MERCHANTS from the South and West would be amply
I recompensed by making cheap purchases for each, by
calling at the Carpet, Oil Cloth, and Window Shade Depot
Nos. 18 and 29 North Second street, Philadelphia, accome
floor, one door below Christ Church.

Three thousand pieces of Witton, Brossels, imperial, in
grain, Damask, and Venttian Carpetings, with Oil Cloths
Mats Rugs, Looking Glasses, Hilinds, Window Shades, and
Mattings, wholesale and refail, very low.

ACT Liberal advances made on consignments of Carpeting and Oil Cloths.

March 15.—laml2t DRS, MUSSEY,

TO TRUSTEES OF DISTRICT SCHOOLS.

AGENTS WANTED. o all persons interested in the diffusion of useful knowledge—valuable books for public, private, and district school libraries.

SEARS' PICTORIAL LIBRARY. TWELVE volumes, large octave, substantially bound in leather. Embellished with more than TWO THOU-SAND ENGRAVINGS, designed and executed by the most eminent artists of England and America.

From his Excellency Hamilton Fish, Governor of the State of New York.

Dear Siz: I have not had time, amid other engagements, for a very thorough examination of the series of Pictorial Works which you have been so kind as to send me. I have, however, examined them sufficiently to justify me in saying that they are compiled with care, and are highly literesting and useful Family Books pure in their moral tendency, and replete with valuable information. They are good books, and worthy of a place in our District School Libraries.

HAMILTON FISH.

From the Hon. Christopher Morgan, Secretary of State and Superintendent of Common Schools.

State of New York, Secretary's Office, Department of Common Schools, Albany, April 10, 1849.
Sin: I have examined your series of Pictorial Works; I find them to contain a large amount of valuable information, and take pleasure in cheerfully recommending them as smilable books to be introduced into the Common and District School Libraries of this State.

CHRISTOPHER MORGAN.

Mr. Robert Sears.

Mr. ROBERT SEARS. Recommendation of Hon Robert H. Pruyn, Gabriel P. Dissosway, James D. Button, James W. Beekman and Alonzo Johnson, Committee on Colleges, Academies, and Common S. hools.

NEW YORK LEGISLATURE, April 5, 1849. We have examined the PICTORIAL WORKS* edited nd published by Mr. Robert Sears, 128 Nassau street. New ork, prepared for DISTRICT SCHOOL LIBRARIES, not are of the opinion that they deserve a place in these ititutions, designed as they are for the diffusion of Useful considers.

Knowledge.

* The works alluded to, as having been examined and recommended for the Libraries, are as follows: A New and Popular Pictorial Description of the United States—Pictorial History of the American Revolution—Scenes and Sketches of Continentul Europe—Description of Great Britain and Ireland—Pictorial Family Annual—Treasury of Knowledge—Information for the People—The Family Instructor—Enformation for the Bible Biography—Bible History—Second Series of the Wonders of the World.

ROBERT H. PRUYN, Chairman.

GABRIEL P. DISOSWAY.

JAMES D. BUTTON

JAMES W. BEEKMAN.

ALONZO JOHNSON.

OF AGENTS WANTED in every section of the Union to sell the above works. To men of enterp ise and tact, this offers an opportunity for useful, pleasant, and profitable employment. A cash capital of at least twenty-five or fifty dollars will be necessary. Full particulars will be given on application, either personally or by letter. Postage must in all cases be paid. Please address ROBERT SEARS, 128 Nassau street, N. York.

To Publishers of Newspapers throughout the State

To Publishers of Newspapers throughout the searce of New York:

OF Newspapers copying this advertisement entire, well displayed, as above, without any a teration or abridgment, (including this notice) and giving it one or more insertions, shall receive a copy of any one of our \$2.50 or \$3 works, (subject to their order,) by sending direct to the publisher.

BON No letter will be taken from the office unless post paid. BEANEER DAGUERREAN GALLERY.

Pennsylvania Avenue, Washington City, one door west of Gilman's Drug Store. THE citizens of Washington and strangers visiting the city are respectfully informed that the subscriber has just opened a gallery as above, which he has fitted up in ele-gant style, with all the latest improvemente, including

AN EXTENSIVE SKYLIGHT, and is now prepared to take pictures of all sizes, single or in groups, which his long exp rience and great success embodden him to say will be pronunced by competent judges fully equal to any specimens of the phon graphic art ever produced in the United States.

Cabinet pictures, measuring eleven by fourteet mehes, taken at short notice; also, crayon and enamelled Daguerreotypes.

types.

Pictures taken equally well in cloudy as in fair weather.

Perfect satisfaction warranted in a l cases.

The public are respectfully invited to call and examine specimens.

N. S. BENNETT.

Jan. 31-ly THE NEW GRÆFENBERG WATER CURE REPORTER

REPORTER

I S published monthly, containing thirty-two large octave pages, devoted to the dissemination of the principles of life, health, and true medical reform. It will aim to explain clearly, intelligibly, and scientifically, the principles and processes of Hydropathy. HENRY FOSTER, M. D., Editor.

Regular Contributors. N. Bedortha, M. D., New Lebanon Water Cure, N. Y. S. O. Gleason, M. D., Glenhaven Water Cure, N. Y. O. V. Thayer, M. D., Cooperstown Water Cure, N. Y. P. H. Hayes, M. D., Greenwood's Springs Water Cure,

I. Y. T. Seelye, M. D., Cleveland Water Cure, O. C. C. Shieferdecker, Willow Grove Water Cure, Pa. R. S. Haughton, M. D., 51 Tenth street, New York. Terms, always in advance.

Address, post paid, R. HOLLAND, Publisher, Utica, New York. Jan. 31-3m THE PARKEVILLE HYDROPATHIC INSTI-

THE PARKEVILLE HYDROPATHIC INSTI-TUTE,
A CCESSIBLE from all parts of the United States—situ-dated two miles south of Woodbury, in the county town of Gloucester County, New Jersey, and five miles from Bet Bank—having been opened under favorable anspices, is now in successful operation, for the cure of Gout, Kheumatism, Bronchitis, Consumption, Dyspepsia, Constipation, Diarrhoes, Paralysis, Neuralgia, Nervous, Febrile, and Cutaneous dis-ease-, under the superintendence of Dr. Dexter, formerty of Morristown, N.J., and recently of the Round Hill Retreat, Massachusetts.

eases, under the superintendence of Dr. Dexter formerly of Morristown, N. J., and recently of the Round Hill Retreat, Massachusetts.

This Institution was built expressly for a Water Cure Establishment, is capable of accommodating fifty patients, and abundantly supplied with water of the purest quality.

The treatment of disease by water is no longer matter of experiment; but a few years have elapsed since the first Water Cure Institution was opened in the U. S., and the result of fix administration, in both acute and chronic diseases, has convinced the most incredulous of its efficacy.

The Managers deem it unnecessary to refer to the numerous and astonishing cures which have been effected at this institution; (notwithstending they have permission from many patients to do so.) Should any applicant desire information of this kind, they will be referred to the patients themselves, who will certify to the benefit which they received while at the Parkeville Institute.

The winter is the best season for Hydropathic treatment. "Diseases gallop on towards a cure in the cold season, while the instinctive tendencies of the system are more manifest," re-action being then more easily produced.

In the experience and skill of the Superintendent, who was one of the earliest practitioners of Hydropathy in this country, the utmost confidence may be placed.

The location of the Institution has been selected for the peculiar salubrity of its atmosphers, the inexhaustile supply of water, its proximity to the city, and the advantages which it offers for fully exercing out the principles and practices of the Water Cure.

THE BATHING DEPARTMENT

THE BATHING DEPARTMENT

Has been constructed after the European plan; every room being provided with a plunge, foot, and sitz bath. The douch has a fall of about thirty feet, while the main plunge is supplied from an exclusive spring of cold water.

The servants and bath attendants have been selected with the greatest care, and all accustomed to the economy of an Hydropathic establishment.

Parkerille is about time miles from Philadelphia, surrounded by a flourishing neighbourhood of industrious and enterprising 'armers. Communication may be had with the City, either by water or otherwise, several times daily. There are churches and schools in its immediate vicinity. The Managers, while they offer the advantages of their institution to the diseased, would also tender them the comforts and conveniences of a home.

The Managers, while they offer the advantages of their institution to the diseased, would also tender them the comforts and conveniences of a home.

The mass—for the first four weeks, Ten Dollars per week, after that, Eight Dol'ars per week, which includes board, treatment, and all other charges, except washing. Those requiring extra accommodation, will be charged accordingly.

The water tre-timent is not a panagea that will cure all diseases; it is therefore necessary that each applicant should have the benefit of a careful examination: In every instance the doctor will candidly state his opinion, and then applicants will be at liberty to become patients or not, as they think proper. This examination can be made in Philadelphia, or at the Institute, for which a fee of five dollars is to be paid at the time of making the examination.

Persons at a distance can obtain an opinion as to the probable effect of the water treatment, by enclosing ton dollars, accompanied by a written statement of their case.

Application to be made to Samust Wash, Secretary, 58 South Fourth St., Philadelphia, or to Ba Daxwan, on the premises.

premises.

Patients will be expected to bring wish them two linen sheets, two large woollen blankets, four comfortables, and balf a dozen crash towels, or these can be purchased at the Institute.

At the Livery Stable, they can procure carriages or saddle horses, (for Ladies or Gentlemen,) and such as wish to keep their own horses at Parkeville can have them well taken care of, at livery stable prices

A stage runs daily from the Institute to Red Bank.

Out. 25-tf

THE BROWNSVILLE WATER CURE ESTAB-Under the care of Dr. C. Baelz,

CONTINUES to be open for the reception of invalids.

Many improvements have been added, for the comfort and accommodation of patients. This, together with the success during six years of excerence, enables Dr. Baels to give the assurance to the public that his watablishment shall themselves under his care.

The location is retired and plessant, a mile and a half east.

The location is retired and plessant, a mile and a half east grownsville. The daily intercourse between Pittsburgh and Brownsville, with boats, affords easy access from the South and West.

Six towels, two cotton sheets, three comforts, and linen for andages, are necessary to undergo the treatment.

Terms, six dollars per week, payable weekly.

Feb. 21—4m

BOSTON "NATIONAL ERA" AGENCY, No. 25 Cornhill. No. 25 Corntill.

THE National Era comes from Washington to this office by b xpress, and is delivered by carriers in any part of the city proper, at \$2.75 a year, free of postage; single copies, six and a quarter cents.

Now is the time to secure this national advocate of the 1 ibnown in the time to secure this national advocate of the 1 ibnown the new Administration, when questions of the most thrilling importance must be decided.

Subscriptions and renewals respectfully reflected by Nov. 25.

GEO. W. LIGHT, 25 Cernbill.

LAW OFFICE, COLUMBUS, O.

WILLIAM B. JARVIS, Jun. Atterney and Counselled William B. Jarvis, Jun. Atterney and Counselled At Law, Columbus, Oblo-Office in Platter new building, State street, opposite seath door of State House.

Business commerced with the profession, of all kinds, pure law in the commerced with the profession, of all kinds, pure Jan. 28

DIRNEY & SHIELDS, Attorneys at Law, corner of Main and Court etcests, Cincinnati,

JAMES BIRNEY, Notary Public and Commissioner to take acknowledgments of deeds and depositions for the States of Main. Verment, Connection, Michigan, New Hampshire, Wilsoney: ... illado, Tonnescoe, New York, and Arkansas.

Jan 4. - 17 LARD FOR OIL.

ARI WANTED.—Cash paid for corn, mast, and stop-larl. Apply to THOMAS EMERY, Lard Oil Mannfacturer, 22 Water street, near Walnut, Cincinnati. COMMISSION STORE.

MEDICAL Practitioners and Surgeons, north sideof 7s street, two doors east of Vine street, Chelmanti, Chie. R. D. MUSSEY, M. D. Jan. 4. W. H. MUSSEY, M. D.